



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 5215	
10/522,009 01/14/2005		01/14/2005	James Michael O'Dwyer	7081P005		
8791	7590	12/27/2005		EXAMINER		
BLAKEL	Y SOKOL	OFF TAYLOR &	JOHNSON, STEPHEN			
12400 WII SEVENTE		ULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANG	ELES, CA	90025-1030	3641			

DATE MAILED: 12/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

									
1		Application No.		Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/522,00	9	O'DWYER, JAMES MICHAEL				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Stephen M		3641				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication app	ears on the	cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Mansions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a bed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 junication. atutory period w will, by statute,	ATE OF TH 36(a). In no eve will apply and will cause the appl	IS COMMUNICATION, however, may a reply be septified to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this of the control (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1\⊠	Posnonsivo to communication(s) file	d on 14 la	nuan, 200i	5					
1)⊠ 2a)⊟									
,—	-								
ال(د	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	closed in accordance with the practic	se under E	x parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 455 O.G. 215.				
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.								
7)🖂	Claim(s) <u>4-34</u> is/are objected to.								
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction	on and/or e	election req	uirement.					
Applicati	on Papers								
a) 🗔	The specification is objected to by the	- Evamine	r						
· · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on 14 January 2			ented or h) 🕅 objec	ted to by the Examir	ner			
10)23	Applicant may not request that any object		•	•	<u>-</u>				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including					FR 1 121(d)			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to		-	*	-				
•		by the Ex	arrimier, 140	te the attached on	ice / tellori or form i	10 102.			
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim of All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the priority of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the the attached detailed Office actions.	documents documents of the prior nal Bureau	s have been s have been rity docume u (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applic nts have been rece e 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this Nationa	l Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) Le of References Cited (PTO-892) Le of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Pomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date 1/14/2005.			4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:		O-152)			

Art Unit: 3641

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species A is directed to the embodiment of figs. 1-6. Species B is directed to the embodiment of figs. 14-15.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-3 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/522,009

Art Unit: 3641

2. The drawings are objected to because it is not understood as to how the belt 48 in fig. 14 can load the circumrotating barrels 41 when the belt 48 is not located behind the entry chamber of barrels 41 (see fig. 14). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office

Page 3

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the firing mechanism (see claim 1, line 13) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet,

Application/Control Number: 10/522,009 Page 4

Art Unit: 3641

even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

- 4. Claims 4-34 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from another multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-34 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 9, how are the terms "sleeves" and "cartridges" intended to relate to the previously claimed sleeves and cartridges (see claim 1, lines 1-2)? In claim 1, line 14, how is the term "cradles" intended to relate to the previously claimed "a plurality of cradles" (see claim 1, line 4)? In claim 2, lines 2-3, how is the term "a barrel" intended to relate to the previously claimed "a plurality of ... barrels" (see claim 1, line 3)?

Application/Control Number: 10/522,009 Page 5

Art Unit: 3641

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dardick (939).

Dardick (939) discloses a belt-fed machine gun comprising:

- a) a plurality of circumrotating barrels; 7
- b) a plurality of circumrotating cradles; 2, 3
- c) a housing and breechblock; 1, 12
- d) a camming guide; portion of 1 abutting 4 and 2
- e) a firing mechanism; 56
- f) a propellant charge; and 71
- g) an integral member. 1 (see fig. 2)
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-6877 and whose e-mail address is (Stephen.Johnson@uspto.gov). The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on 571-272-6873. The Central FAX phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 800-786-9199.

Show when

Page 6

STEPHEN M. JOHNSCA PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stephen M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

SMJ December 21, 2005